[MONEY LAUNDERING] (N.J.S.A. 2C:21-25b(1)) [Promotion Prong]

Count \_\_\_\_\_ of the Indictment charges the defendant with the crime of Financial Facilitation of Criminal Activity. The Statute upon which this count of the Indictment is based reads as follows:

A person is guilty of a crime if the person engages in a transaction involving property known or which a reasonable person would believe to be derived from criminal activity with the intent to facilitate or promote criminal activity.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this charge, the State must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. the defendant knowingly engaged in a transaction involving property;
- 2. the defendant knew, or a reasonable person would have believed, that the property was derived from criminal activity;
- 3. and that the defendant's intent was to facilitate or promote criminal activity. 1

The first element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is the defendant knowingly engaged in a transaction involving property. Here, the State alleges that this property included \_\_\_\_\_ [describe property].

## [If the defendant is not an individual person, charge the following:]

A person means any corporation, unincorporated association or any other entity or enterprise<sup>2</sup> which is capable of holding a legal or beneficial interest in property.<sup>3</sup>

## [Charge in all cases:]

State v. Harris, 373 N.J. Super. 253, 270 (App. Div. 2004), certif. denied, 183 N.J. 257 (2005).

Charge the definition of an enterprise, if appropriate: an enterprise includes any individual, sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, business trust, association, or other legal entity, and any union or group of individuals associated in fact, although not a legal entity, and it includes illicit as well as licit enterprises and governmental as well as other entities. N.J.S.A. 2C:20-1q.

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-25b(1)

Page 2 of 6

Property means anything of value [read appropriate phrases:], including real estate, tangible

and intangible personal property, trade secrets, contract rights, choses in action and other interests in

or claims to wealth, admission or transportation tickets, captured or domestic animals, food and

drink, electric, gas, steam or other power, financial instruments, information, data and computer

software, in either human readable or computer readable form, copies or originals.<sup>4</sup> Property

includes any benefit or interest without reduction for expenses incurred for acquisition, maintenance

or any other purpose.<sup>5</sup>

A person acts knowingly with respect to the nature of his/her conduct of the attendant

circumstances if he/she is aware that his/her conduct is of that nature, or that such circumstances

exist, or he/she is aware of the high probability of their existence. A person acts knowingly as to a

result of his/her conduct if he/she is aware that it is practically certain that that conduct will cause

such a result. Knowing, with knowledge, or equivalent terms have the same meaning.

Knowledge is a condition of the mind. It cannot be seen. It can only be determined by

inferences from conduct, words or acts. Therefore, it is not necessary for the State to produce

witnesses to testify that a particular defendant stated, for example, that he/she acted with knowledge

when he/she had engaged in a particular act. It is within your power to find that proof of knowledge

has been furnished beyond a reasonable doubt by inference which may arise from the nature of the

acts and the surrounding circumstances.

The second element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the defendant

knew, or a reasonable person would have believed that the property was derived from criminal

activity.

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-24.

<sup>4</sup> N.J.S.A. 2C:20-1g.

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-25b(1)

Page 3 of 6

The term "derived from" means obtained directly or indirectly from, maintained by or

realized through.<sup>6</sup>

For the purposes of this section, property is known to be derived from criminal activity if the

person knows that the property involved represents proceeds from some form, though not

necessarily which form, of criminal activity.<sup>7</sup>

In other words, the defendant must know that the transaction involved criminally derived

property but the defendant need not have known that the subject property was derived from specific

unlawful activity.<sup>8</sup> Any criminal activity will suffice.<sup>9</sup>

[CHARGE IF APPROPRIATE]

You may infer that the defendant had this requisite knowledge if you find the following:

(1) the property is transported or possessed in a fashion inconsistent with the ordinary or

usual means of transportation or possession of such property; and

(2) the property is discovered in the absence of any documentation or other indicia of

legitimate origin or right to such property. 10

An inference is a deduction of fact that may be drawn logically and reasonably from another

fact or group of facts established by the evidence. Whether or not an inference should be drawn is

for you to decide using your own common sense, knowledge and everyday experience. Ask

yourselves is it probable, logical and reasonable. However, you are never required or compelled to

draw an inference. You alone decide whether the facts and circumstances shown by the evidence

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-24.

<sup>6</sup> N.J.S.A. 2C:21-24.

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-25d. See also State v. Harris, 373 N.J. Super. at 264, 265.

State v. Harris, 373 N.J. Super. at 269.

An independent predicate offense is not necessary to the prosecution of this statute. <u>State v. Harris</u>, 373 <u>N.J.</u>

Super. at 267.

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-25b(1)

Page 4 of 6

support an inference and you are always free to draw or not to draw an inference. If you draw an

inference, you should weigh it in connection with all the other evidence in the case, keeping in mind

that the burden of proof is upon the State to prove all the elements of the crime beyond a reasonable

doubt.11

[Resume Main Charge]

The third element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the defendant's

intent was to facilitate or promote criminal activity. Intent means the defendant acted purposely.

A person acts purposely with respect to the nature of his/her conduct or a result thereof if it

is his/her conscious object to engage in conduct of that nature or to cause such a result. A person

acts purposely with respect to attendant circumstances if he/she is aware of the existence of such

circumstances or he/she believes or hopes that they exist. That is, he/she means to do what he/she

does. "With purpose," "designed," "with design," or equivalent terms have the same meaning.

Purpose is a state of mind that cannot be seen and can only be determined by inference from

conduct, words or acts. A state of mind is rarely susceptible of direct proof, but must ordinarily be

inferred from the facts. Therefore, it is not necessary that the State produce witnesses to testify that

a defendant said that he/she acted with purpose when he/she engaged in a particular act. His/Her

state of mind may be gathered from his/her acts and conduct, from all that he/she said and did at the

particular time and place, and from all surrounding circumstances.

If you find that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of these elements, then

you must find the defendant guilty. If, on the other hand, you find that the State has failed to prove

any one of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant not guilty.

Presumably, the model charge entitled "Circumstantial Evidence," concerning direct and circumstantial

evidence, should have already been charged.

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-25b(1)

Page 5 of 6

The State must prove the amount of the property involved beyond a reasonable doubt. If you

found the State has proven all the elements of the offense(s) charged beyond a reasonable doubt,

then you must indicate whether you find that the State has proven the amount of the property

involved beyond a reasonable doubt

[choose appropriate:]

1) is \$500,000.00 or more;

2) is at least \$75,000.00 but less than \$500,000.00;

3) is less than \$75,000.00.<sup>12</sup>

The State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the property involved has some value.

As I indicated to you previously, property means anything of value [choose appropriate phrases:], including real estate, tangible and intangible personal property, trade secrets, contract rights, choses in action and other interests in or claims to wealth, admission or transportation tickets, captured or domestic animals, food and drink, electric, gas, steam or other power, financial instruments, information, data and computer software, in either human readable or computer readable form, copies or originals.<sup>13</sup> Property includes any benefit or interest without reduction for expenses incurred for acquisition, maintenance or any other purpose.<sup>14</sup>

Value means the fair market value of the property at the time and place of the alleged operative act. <sup>15</sup> Fair market value is the price that a buyer would be willing to pay and a seller

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-27a. The jury should mark its selection on the verdict sheet. It is unclear how the State is to proceed in the situation where the State is seeking to impose an anti-money laundering profiteering penalty pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:21-27.2b & c; i.e., whether the jury has to determine the value of property involved in order for the State to seek a penalty equal to three times that value given the factors to be considered pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:21-27.2c. For the penalty pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:21-27.2a, the jury will have decided the degree of the crime by its verdict with regard to categories listed above.

<sup>13</sup> N.J.S.A. 2C:20-1g.

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-24.

N.J.S.A. 2C:1-14m.

N.J.S.A. 2C:21-25b(1)

Page 6 of 6

would be willing to accept if both parties were aware of all the relevant surrounding circumstances

and neither party were under any compulsion to buy or sell.

The State has the burden of proving the fair market value of the property involved. This

means the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the property is worth what the State

claims it to be.

Amounts involved in transactions conducted pursuant to one scheme or course of conduct

may be aggregated in determining the amount involved. 16

1.